

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,036	03/24/2005	Akihiko Yamazaki	28951.5379	9056
53067 STFPT∩F & I	7590 11/21/2007 OHNSON LLP	2007	EXAMINER	
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVE., NW		TRINH, MINH N	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· 4.			•	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	*
		10/529,036	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Minh Trinh	3729	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor in the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>12 September 2007</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	☐ This action is non-final.		
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/al	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 8-11 is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	•
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection			•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for □  ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.	
	3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the			•
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmen	it(s)		•	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	×
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>—</u> ·	•

10/529,036 Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's response to the Office's Restriction has been duly considered but is held to be without merits. Applicant's response is not persuasive because the reasons proffered are not relevant to an election of species. The Sections of the MPEP cited by Applicant relate to Restriction, not to the requirement for an election of species. The requirement for an election of species is found at Section 808.01(a) in the MPEP. Once claims are determined to be directed to mutually patentable inventions and the Office requires an election of species, a persuasive traverse is an admission on the record that Applicant did not demonstrate that the claimed species are individually patentable, Applicant's reasons therefore are not persuasive. Applicant is not entitled to examination of multiple independent inventions in one application. Moreover, examination of the independent inventions herein would present a serious burden to the Office in as much as the searches are not coextensive and the art is quite prolific Accordingly, the requirement is repeated and MADE FINAL. Thus, Claim1, 3-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species 1A, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/12/07.

Note: newly added claims 8-11 directed to elected species 1B.

2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

## In the claims:

Nonelected species 1A (claims 1, 3-7) are requested to be cancelled.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

mt 11/19/07

PRIMARY EXAMINER